**Summary 2:**

**Immersive Collaborative Analysis of Network Connectivity: CAVE-style or Head-Mounted Display?**

VR has reached a huge breakthrough in terms of availability with the introduction of HMD. Before this, expensive room-filling facilities such as the CAVE have been the popular trend. To determine if HMD could potential replace CAVE, investigation into the difference between the two styles for collaborative visualization of abstract data was conducted. The main difference in the two platforms is that CAVE allows free walking, the ability to see the other user, but with wand based interaction while Oculus is seated, unable to see the other party, but uses hand-motion tracking. Pairs of users collaborated to complete two tasks: counting triangles and finding shortest path between two nodes. Users were rated based on their performance, collaboration, and experience. Results showed that the VR platform did not significantly affect the accuracy, interaction, and type and degree of collaboration between users. The completion time was shorter for HMD and this maybe because users had to move in the room for CAVE. The reduction in completion time was independent of the strategies used for the task. There was a surprising lack of difference in terms of oral communication between the two platforms.
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